STILLMAN LEGAL PC LINA STILLMAN TONEILLE RAGLAN 42 Broadway, 12th Floor New York, New York 10004 www.StillmanLegalPC.com 212-203-2417 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Viviana Carbonel	ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C.§ 216(b)
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
-against-	
C & S DELI GROCERY INC. (DBA Chino's Corner Deli & Grill) Fermin Chino and Chris Chino	
Defendants.	X

Plaintiff Viviana Carbonel individually, by and through her attorneys, Stillman Legal PC., allege upon their knowledge and belief, and as against C & S DELI GROCERY INC. (DBA Chino's Corner Deli & Grill) (together, hereinafter "C & S DELI GROCERY INC." or "Defendant Corporation") Fermin Chino and Chris Chino (each an "Individual Defendant") collectively with Defendant Corporations, "Defendants"), allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1) Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants C & S DELI GROCERY INC. (DBA Chino's Corner Deli & Grill) Fermin Chino and Chris Chino. Defendants own, operate or control a company registered at 7602 18th Ave Brooklyn, NY 11214 with main headquarters at 2923 Fort Hamilton Pkwy, Brooklyn, NY 11218 under the name "C & S DELI GROCERY INC."
 - 2) Upon information and belief, Individual Defendants Fermin Chino and Chris Chino

serve as owners, managers, principles, or agents of Defendants C & S DELI GROCERY INC., and through the corporate entity operates or operated the company as a joint or unified enterprise.

- 3) Plaintiff was an employee of the Defendants. She was primarily employed as an assistant but also did some other activities like, customer service, stacker and also did delivery.
- 4) Plaintiff worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week, without appropriate compensation for the hours over 40 per week that she worked. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff appropriately for any hours worked over 40 hours and paid her at straight time, which is against the appropriate Labor Laws.
- 5) Defendants' conduct extended beyond the Plaintiff to all other similarly situated employees. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendants maintain a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing the overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.
- 6) Plaintiff now brings this action on behalf of herself, for unpaid overtime wage orders pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C.§ 201 *et seq.*("FLSA"), and for violations of the N. Y Lab.Law §§ 190 *et seq.*and 650 *et seq.*(the "NYLL"), and overtime wage orders of the New York Commission of Labor codified at N.Y.COMP.CODES R.& REGS. tit.12, § 142-2.4 (2006), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.
- 7) Plaintiff seeks certification of this action as an individual action on behalf of herself, individually pursuant to 29 U.S.C.§ 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8) This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 29 U.S.C.§ 216(b) (FLSA), 28

- U.S.C.§ 1337 (interstate commerce) and 28 U.S.C.§ 1331 (federal question). Supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff' state law claims is conferred by 28 U.S.C.§ 1367(a).
- 9) Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C.§ 391(b) and (c) because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- 10) Defendants maintain their company headquarters and offices within this district, and Defendants operate one company located in this district. Further, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants in this District.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

11) Plaintiff Viviana Carbonel ("Plaintiff Carbonel") is an adult individual residing in Brooklyn, New York. Plaintiff Carbonel was employed by the Defendants from September 16, 2017, until March 10, 2022.

Defendants

- 12) Defendants own, operate, or control a grocery located at 7602 18th Ave Brooklyn, NY 11214 under the name of C & S DELI GROCERY INC., at all times relevant to this complaint.
- 13) Upon information and belief, C & S DELI GROCERY INC., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 2923 Fort Hamilton Pkwy, Brooklyn, NY 11218.
- 14) Upon information and belief, Defendants Fermin Chino and Chris Chino are individuals engaging (or who were engaged) in business with this district during the relevant time. Defendants are sued individually in their capacity as owners, officers and/or agents of the Defendant Corporation.

Defendants Fermin Chino and Chris Chino possess or possessed operational control over Defendant Corporations, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporations, or controlled significant functions of Defendant Corporations. Defendants Fermin Chino and Chris Chino determined the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff, and established the schedules of the employees, maintained employee records, and had the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

- 15)Defendants operate a grocery style Deli in Brooklyn, New York.
- 16) Defendants maintain as their principal place of business a Grocery store, located at at 2923 Fort Hamilton Pkwy, Brooklyn, NY 11218. Individuals Fermin Chino and Chris Chino possesses or possessed operational control over Defendant Corporation, possess or possessed an ownership interest in Defendant Corporations, and control or controlled significant functions of Defendant Corporations.
- 17) Upon information and belief, Defendants Fermin Chino and Chris Chino serve or served as Chairperson and/or as Chief Executive Officer of Defendant Corporation.
- 18) Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.
- 19) Defendants possess or possessed substantial control over the Plaintiff working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of the Plaintiff referred to herein.
- 20) Defendants jointly employed the Plaintiff, and are Plaintiff employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C.201 *et seq.* and the New York Labor Law.
 - 21) In the alternative, the Defendants constitute a single employer of the Plaintiff.

- 22) At all relevant times, Defendants were the Plaintiff employers within the meaning of the FLSA and New York Labor Law. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff, control the terms and conditions of her employment and determine the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff services.
- 23) In each year from 2017 to the present, the Defendants, both separately and jointly, had gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).
- 24) In addition, upon information and belief, the Defendants and/or their enterprise were directly engaged in interstate commerce.

Plaintiff Viviana Carbonel

- 25) Plaintiff Carbonel worked for Defendants from September 16, 2017, until March 10, 2022.
 - 26) Her schedule was typically 6 A.M until 4 P.M, Monday through Saturday.
- 27) She was required to write down the time that she began work and the time that she left work on Defendants notebooks.
 - 28) Plaintiff Carbonel work approximately (60) sixty hours per week.
- 29) Plaintiff Carbonel was paid \$10 per hour from the beginning of her employment in 2017 until March 2019. Defendants raised her salary to \$11 per hour until April 2020. Her salary was raised again to \$12 per hour until March 2021. Her salary was raised was raised to \$13 per hour on February 2022 until the termination of her employment in March 2022.
- 30) Plaintiff was not paid at the minimum wage required by law, nor was she paid at time and a half for the hours she worked beyond the (40) forty hour work week.
- 31) Plaintiff Carbonel regularly handled goods in interstate commerce and other items produced outside of the State of New York.
 - 32) Plaintiff Carbonel work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.

- 33) Plaintiff Carbonel worked in excess of 40 hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation from the beginning and until the end of her employment with Defendants.
- 34) No notification, either in the form of posted notices, or other means, were ever given to Plaintiff Carbonel regarding overtime and wages as required under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 35) Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Carbonel with each payment of wages accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 36) Defendants never provided Plaintiff with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Carbonel primary language), of her rate of pay, employer's regular payday, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

Defendants' General Employment Practices

- 37) At all times, relevant to this complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees to work in excess of 40 hours per week without paying them appropriate overtime compensation, as required by federal and state laws. Defendants' pay practices resulted in Plaintiff not receiving payments for all her hours worked, resulting in Plaintiff effective rate of pay falling below the required overtime wage rate.
- 38) Plaintiff has been victim of Defendants' common policy and practices violating her rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law by not paying her the wages she was owed for the hours she had worked.
 - a. As part of their regular business practice, Defendants intentionally, willfully, and repeatedly harmed Plaintiff by engaging in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA and the NYLL.
 - b. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with statutorily required wage and hour records or statements of her pay received, in part so as to hide Defendants' violations of the wage and hour laws, and to take advantage of Plaintiff relative lack of sophistication in wage and hour laws.

- c. Upon information and belief, this was done to disguise the actual number of hours
 Plaintiff worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff properly for (i) her full hours worked,
 (ii) for overtime due.
- d. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with any document or other statement accurately accounting for her actual hours worked and setting forth rate of overtime wage.
- 39) Plaintiff brings their FLSA overtime, and liquidated damages claims pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C.§ 216(b).
- 40) At all relevant times, Plaintiff, has been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay her the required overtime and willfully failing to keep records required by the FLSA.
- 41) The claims of Plaintiff stated herein are similar to those of other similarly situated employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of the Minimum Wage and Overtime Provisions of the FLSA)

- 42) Plaintiff repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 43) Defendants, in violation of the FLSA, failed to pay Plaintiff minimum wage or overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek, in violation of 29 U.S.C.§ 207 (a)(1).
- 44) Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C.§ 255(a).
- 45) Plaintiff (and the FLSA class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of the Overtime Provisions of the New York Labor Law)

- 46) Plaintiff repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 47) Defendants, in violation of the NYLL § 190 et seq. and associated rules and regulations, failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek.
- 48) Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y.Lab.Law § 663.
 - 49) Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Notice at Time of Hiring

- 50) Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 51) Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff at the time of hiring or at any point thereafter, a notice containing the rate of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law, in violation of NYLL § 195(1).
- 52) Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL § 195(1), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants statutory damages of Fifty dollars (\$50) per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-b).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements

- 53) Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 54) Defendants have failed to provide Plaintiff with complete and accurate wage statements throughout her employment listing, *inter alia*, all her regular and overtime hours of work, her rate

of pay, and the basis of pay, in violation of NYLL § 195(3).

55) Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants' statutory damages of Two Hundred and Fifty dollars (\$250) per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000), pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-d).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that this Court enter judgment against Defendants:

- a. Designating this action as an individual action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C.§ 216(b);
- b. Declaring that Defendants' violation of the provisions of the FLSA was willful as to Plaintiff;
- c. Declaring that Defendants have violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of her damages for the amount of unpaid overtime wages, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C.§ 216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff damages for the amount of unpaid overtime wages, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;
- f. Declaring that Defendants have violated the recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiff' compensation, hours, wages; and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- g. Awarding Plaintiff damages for the amount of unpaid overtime wages, damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages;

Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent

(100%) of the total amount of overtime compensation shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663

as applicable;

i. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as applicable;

Awarding Plaintiff the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and

attorney's fees; and

k. All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

1. An award of statutory damages for Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiff with

wage notices at the time of her respective hiring, or at any point thereafter, pursuant to NYLL §

198 (1-b);

m. An award of statutory damages for Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiff with

complete and accurate wage statements pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-d);

n. An award of pre-judgment interest of nine percent per annum (9%) pursuant to

the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules §§ 5001-5004;

o. An award of post-judgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 and/or the

New York Civil Practice Law and Rules § 5003;

p. Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York

December 30, 2022

By: Lina Stillman

STILLMAN LEGAL P.C

42 Broadway, 12th Floor

New York, New York 10004

Telephone: (212) 203-2417